UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Eastern District of Michigan

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
William White	Case Number: 05-81151
Defendant	
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U. detention of the defendant pending trial in this case	S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts require the
	Part I—Findings of Fact
or local offense that would have been a fe a crime of violence as defined in 18 U an offense for which the maximum se	
<u> </u>	defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C.
§ $3142(f)(1)(A)$ -(C), or comparable st	
	committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense. elapsed since the date of conviction release of the defendant from imprisonment
	rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the amunity. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.
	Alternative Findings (A)
(1) There is probable cause to believe that the	e defendant has committed an offense
for which a maximum term of imprise under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	onment of ten years or more is prescribed inthe Controlled Substances Act
	ption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure ed and the safety of the community.
1	Alternative Findings (B)
(1) There is a serious risk that the defendant v	3 ()
Dort II	—Written Statement of Reasons for Detention
I find that the credible testimony and informati derance of the evidence that	ion submitted at the hearing establishes by \Box clear and convincing evidence \Box a prepon-
While he has strong ties to his father and dau supervision for his probation and he has a sig	8/02 DVI and a 7/23/04 CCW charge during the time involved in these cocaine charges. ghter he has no employment history. In addition he did not cooperate with his guest nificant prior criminal record involving drugs and alcohol. He faces a 20 year to life t no combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant
P	art III—Directions Regarding Detention
The defendant is committed to the custody of the to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or reasonable opportunity for private consultation with	e Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a th defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the se facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance
December 22, 2005	s/Steven D. Pepe
Date	Signature of Judge
	Steven D. Pene United States Magistrate Judge

Name and Title of Judge

^{*}Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).